arXiv:1701.02101v1 [math.AP] 9 Jan 2017

Existence and symmetry of solutions for critical fractional Schrödinger equations with bounded potentials

Xia Zhang[a], Binlin Zhang[b,*] and Dušan Repovš[c]

[a] Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, P.R. China

[b] Department of Mathematics, Heilongjiang Institute of Technology, Harbin 150050, P.R. China

[c] Faculty of Education and Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana,

Kardeljeva ploščad 16, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

Abstract

This paper is concerned with the following fractional Schrödinger equations involving critical exponents:

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha}u + V(x)u = k(x)f(u) + \lambda|u|^{2^*_\alpha - 2}u \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $(-\Delta)^{\alpha}$ is the fractional Laplacian operator with $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $N \geq 2$, λ is a positive real parameter and $2^*_\alpha = 2N/(N-2\alpha)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $V(x)$ and $k(x)$ are positive and bounded functions satisfying some extra hypotheses. Based on the principle of concentration compactness in the fractional Sobolev space and the minimax arguments, we obtain the existence of a nontrivial radially symmetric weak solution for the above-mentioned equations without assuming the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition on the subcritical nonlinearity.

Keywords: fractional Schrödinger equations; critical Sobolev exponent; Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition; concentration compactness principle

2010 MSC: 35A15, 35J60, 46E35.

1 Introduction and main result

In this paper, we study the solutions of the following Schrödinger equations involving a critical nonlinearity:

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha}u + V(x)u = k(x)f(u) + \lambda|u|^{2^*_\alpha - 2}u \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

driven by the fractional Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)^{\alpha}$ of order $\alpha \in (0,1)$, where $N \geq 2$, λ is a positive real parameter and $2^*_\alpha = 2N/(N-2\alpha)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent.

The fractional Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)^{\alpha}$, which (up to normalization constants), may be defined as

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha}u(x) := \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x)-u(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where P.V. stands for the principal value. It may be viewed as the infinitesimal generators of a Lévy stable diffusion processes (see [1]). This operator arises in the description of various phenomena in the applied sciences, such as phase transitions, materials science, conservation laws, minimal surfaces, water waves, optimization,

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: piecesummer1984@163.com (X. Zhang), zhangbinlin2012@163.com(B. Zhang), dusan.repovs@guest.arnes.si (D. Repovš)

plasma physics and so on, see [13] and references therein for more detailed introduction. Here we would like to point out some interesting models involving the fractional Laplacian, such as, the fractional Schrödinger equation (see [14, 15, 22, 23, 24]), the fractional Kirchhoff equation (see [16, 32, 33, 46, 47]), the fractional porous medium equation (see [9, 45]), the fractional Yamabe problem (see [34]) and so on, have attracted recently considerable attention. As a matter of fact, the literature on fractional operators and their applications to partially differential equations is quite large, here we would like to mention a few, see for instance [2, 11, 12, 26, 27, 35].

In what follows, let us sketch the related advance involving the fractional Schrödinger equations with critical growth in resent years. In [37], Shang and Zhang studied the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the critical fractional Schrödinger equation:

$$\varepsilon^{2\alpha}(-\Delta)^{\alpha}u + V(x)u = \lambda f(u) + |u|^{2^*_\alpha - 2}u \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.2}$$

Based on variational methods, they showed that problem (1.2) has a nonnegative ground state solution for all sufficiently large λ and small ε. In this paper, the following monotone condition was imposed on the continuous subcritical nonlinearity f:

$$f(t)/t \text{ is strictly increasing in } (0, +\infty). \tag{1.3}$$

Observe that (1.3) implies $2F(t) < f(t)t$, where $F(t) := \int_0^t f(\xi)\, d\xi$. Moreover, Shen and Gao in [36] obtained the existence of nontrivial solutions for problem (1.2) under various assumptions on $f(t)$ and potential function $V(x)$, in which the authors assumed the well-known Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition ((AR) condition for short) on f:

$$\text{there exists } \mu > 2 \text{ such that } 0 < \mu F(t) \le f(t)t \text{ for any } t > 0. \tag{1.4}$$

See also recent papers [38, 42] on the fractional Schrödinger equations (1.2). In [44], Teng and He were concerned with the following fractional Schrödinger equations involving a critical nonlinearity:

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha}u + u = P(x)|u|^{p-2}u + Q(x)|u|^{2^*_\alpha - 2}u \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.5}$$

where $2 < p < 2^*_\alpha$, potential functions $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ satisfy certain hypotheses. Using the s-harmonic extension technique of Caffarelli and Silvestre [10], the concentration-compactness principle of Lions [29] and methods of Brézis and Nirenberg [4], the author obtained the existence of ground state solutions. On fractional Kirchhoff problems involving critical nonlinearity, see for example [3, 31] for some recent results. Last but not least, fractional elliptic problems with critical growth, in a bounded domain, have been studied by some authors in the last years, see [6, 7, 18, 28, 39, 41] and references therein.

On the other hand, Feng in [17] investigated the following fractional Schrödinger equations:

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha}u + V(x)u = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.6}$$

where $2 < p < 2^*_\alpha$, $V(x)$ is a positive continuous function. By using the fractional version of concentration compactness principle of Lions [29], the author obtained the existence of ground state solutions to problem (1.6) for some $\lambda > 0$. Zhang *et al.* in [48] considered the following fractional Schrödinger equations with a critical nonlinearity:

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha}u + u = \lambda f(u) + |u|^{2^*_\alpha - 2}u \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.7}$$

Based on another fractional version of concentration compactness principle (see [30, Theorem 1.5]) and radially decreasing rearrangements, they obtained the existence of a ground state solution for (1.7) which is nonnegative and radially symmetric for any $\lambda \in [\lambda_*, \infty)$, where $\lambda_* > 0$.

Inspired by the above works, we are interested in non autonomous cases (1.1), that is, $V(x)$ is not only a constant. To this end, we assume the following conditions on the potential V:

(V1) $V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ *and* $\nabla V(x) \cdot x \le 0$ *for any* $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$*;*

(V2) *V is radially symmetric, i.e. $V(x) = V(|x|)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and there exist positive constants V_1 and V_2 such that $V_1 \le V(x) \le V_2$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.*

Moreover, the following assumptions are imposed on the coefficient k:

(K1) *k is radially symmetric and there exist positive constants k_1 and k_2 such that $k_1 \le k(x) \le k_2$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;*

(K2) *$k \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ and there exists a constant k_0 such that $0 \le \nabla k(x) \cdot x \le k_0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.*

Remark 1.1 Since $\nabla V(x) \cdot x = V'(|x|)|x|$, it follows from (V1) that $V'(|x|) \le 0$. Thus we can choose V to be a positive constant. Another example for V is given by $V(x) = 2 - \arctan|x|$. From (K2), $k'(|x|) \ge 0$. Hence we can choose $k(x) = 2 + \arctan|x|$ as a simple example. The condition (V1) and (K2) were motivated by [20, 43].

Meanwhile, the nonlinearity f will satisfy:

(H1) *$f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. For any $t \le 0$, $f(t) = 0$;*

(H2) *$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{f(t)}{t} = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t^{2^*_\alpha - 1}} = 0$;*

(H3) *For any $t > 0$, $0 < 2F(t) \le f(t)t$;*

(H4) *There exists $T > 0$ such that $F(T) > \frac{V_2}{2k_1}T^2$.*

Remark 1.2 In order to seek nonnegative solutions of (1.1), we assume that $f(t) = 0$ for any $t \le 0$ in (H1). Moreover, from (H2) we know that f is subcritical. Here we do not assume classical condition (1.3) or (1.4), while the weaker condition (H3) on f is employed to replace (AR) condition. A typical example for f is given by

$$f(t) = t\log\left[1 + t\left(t^2 - \frac{1}{2}t - \frac{3}{2}at + a\right)\right]$$

for any $t \ge 0$ and a certain constant $a > 1/3$ which is sufficiently close to $1/3$. It is easy to see that the function f does not fulfill the monotone condition (1.3) and the (AR) condition (1.4).

Now we give the definition of weak solutions for problem (1.1):

Definition 1.1. We say that u is a weak solution of (1.1) if for any $\phi \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ((-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u \cdot (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\phi + V(x)u\phi)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (k(x)f(u) + \lambda|u|^{2^*_\alpha - 2}u)\phi\,dx,$$

where $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the fractional Sobolev space, see Section 2 for more details.

The energy functional on $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined as follows:

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u|^2 + V(x)u^2)\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)F(u)\,dx - \frac{\lambda}{2^*_\alpha}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*_\alpha}\,dx.$$

It is easy to check that $I \in C^1(H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ and the critical point for I is the weak solution of problem (1.1). Let $O(N)$ be the group of orthogonal linear transformations in $\mathbb{R}^N$. It is immediate that I is $O(N)$-invariant. Then, by the principle of symmetric criticality of Krawcewicz and Marzantowicz [21], we know that u_0 is a critical point of I if and only if u_0 is a critical point of

$$\widetilde{I} = I\big|_{H^\alpha_r(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

where

$$H^\alpha_r(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{u \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N) :\ u(x) = u(|x|)\right\},$$

is the fractional radially symmetric Sobolev space. Therefore, it suffices to prove the existence of critical points for $\widetilde{I}$ on $H^\alpha_r(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Now we are in a position to state our main result as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that hypotheses* (H1)–(H4), (V1)–(V2) *and* (K1)–(K2) *are fulfilled. Then there exists* $\lambda_* > 0$ *such that for any* $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*)$*, problem* (1.1) *has a nontrivial weak solution* $u_0 \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *which is nonnegative and radially symmetric.*

Remark 1.3 (i) In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we follow an approximation procedure to obtain a bounded (PS) sequence $\{u_n\}$ for $\widetilde{I}$, instead of starting directly from an arbitrary (PS) sequence. To show the boundedness of (PS) sequences $\{u_n\}$ for $\widetilde{I}$, we need condition (K2) on k. It allows us to make use of a Pohozaev type identity to derive the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$. A key point which allows to use the identity is that $\{u_n\}$ is a sequence of exact critical points. In fact, the requirement $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ will only be used in the proof of Pohozaev identity.

(ii) To the best of our knowledge, there are only few papers that study the existence and symmetry of solutions for problem (1.1) by using concentration compactness principle in the fractional Sobolev space which is different from the version used in [17].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will give some necessary definitions and properties of fractional Sobolev spaces. In Section 3, by using the principle of concentration compactness and minimax arguments, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2 The Variational Setting

For the convenience of the reader, in this part we recall some definitions and basic properties of fractional Sobolev spaces $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For a deeper treatment on these spaces and their applications to fractional Laplacian problems of elliptic type, we refer to [13, 25] and references therein.

For any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the fractional Sobolev space $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined by

$$H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : [u]_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \infty \right\},$$

where $[u]_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ denotes the so-called Gagliardo semi-norm, that is

$$[u]_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy \right)^{1/2}$$

and $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is endowed with the norm

$$||u||_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)} = [u]_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)} + ||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

As it is well known, $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ turns out to be a Hilbert space with scalar product

$$\langle u,\ v \rangle_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x)v(x) \, dx,$$

for any $u, v \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The space $\dot{H}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined as the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ under the norm $[u]_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)}$.

By Proposition 3.6 in [13], we have $[u]_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)} = ||(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ for any $u \in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, i.e.

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x)|^2 \, dx. \tag{2.1}$$

Thus,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x) \cdot (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v(x) \, dx. \tag{2.2}$$

Theorem 2.1. ([15, Lemma 2.1]) *The embedding* $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *is continuous for any* $p \in [2, 2_\alpha^*]$ *and the embedding* $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow\hookrightarrow L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *is compact for any* $p \in [2, 2_\alpha^*)$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Throughout this section, we assume that conditions (H1)–(H4), (V1)–(V2) and (K1)–(K2) are satisfied. In this part, we will use minimax arguments and we denote that C are C_i are positive constant, for any $i = 1, 2 \cdots$.

A crucial step to obtain the existence of a critical point for $\widetilde{I}$ is to show the boundedness of (PS) sequence. But it seems difficult under our assumptions. To overcome this difficulty we use an indirect approach developed in [19]. For any $\eta \in [1/2, 1]$, we consider the following family of functionals defined on $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$:

$$I_\eta(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 + V(x)u^2)\,dx - \eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)F(u)\,dx - \frac{\eta\lambda}{2_\alpha^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx.$$

It is easy to check that $I_\eta \in C^1(H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ and the critical point for I_η is the weak solution of the following equation:

$$(-\Delta)^\alpha u + V(x)u = \eta k(x) f(u) + \eta\lambda |u|^{2_\alpha^*-2}u \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{3.1}$$

First, we will give the following two lemmas to show that I_η has a Mountain Pass geometry.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists $v_0 \in H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\overline{\eta} \in [1/2, 1)$ such that $I_\eta(v_0) < 0$ for any $\eta \in [\overline{\eta}, 1]$, where v_0 and $\overline{\eta}$ are independent of λ.*

Proof. Let $R > 0$, we define

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} T & \text{for } |x| \le R, \\ T(R+1-|x|) & \text{for } R < |x| < R+1, \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| \ge R+1, \end{cases}$$

then $w \in H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, from (H4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(k_1F(w) - \frac{1}{2}V_2w^2\right) dx \\
=&\int_{B(0,R)} \left(k_1F(w) - \frac{1}{2}V_2w^2\right) dx + \int_{B(0,R+1)\setminus B(0,R)} \left(k_1F(w) - \frac{1}{2}V_2w^2\right) dx \\
\ge&\left(k_1F(T) - \frac{1}{2}V_2T^2\right)\big|B(0,R)\big| - \big|B(0,R+1)\setminus B(0,R)\big| \cdot \max_{t\in[0,T]} \big|k_1F(t) - \frac{1}{2}V_2t^2\big| \\
\ge&C_1R^N - C_2R^{N-1},
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure and C_1, C_2 are positive constants. So we could choose $R > 0$ large enough such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(k_1F(w) - \frac{1}{2}V_2w^2\right) dx > 0.$$

Define

$$\overline{\eta} = \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_2w^2\,dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k_1F(w)\,dx}\right\},$$

then we have that $\overline{\eta} \ge 1/2$. Thus, for any $\eta \in [\overline{\eta}, 1]$ and $\theta > 0$, from (K1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\eta(w(\frac{x}{\theta})) \le&\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} w(\frac{x}{\theta})|^2 + V(x)|w(\frac{x}{\theta})|^2\right) dx - \eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)F(w(\frac{x}{\theta}))\,dx \\
\le&\frac{1}{2}\theta^{N-2\alpha}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} w|^2\,dx + \frac{1}{2}\theta^N V_2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w^2\,dx - \theta^N\overline{\eta}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k_1F(w)\,dx \\
=&\frac{1}{2}\theta^{N-2\alpha}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} w|^2\,dx - \frac{1}{2}\theta^N \max\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_2w^2\,dx, \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k_1F(w)\,dx\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then there exists $\overline{\theta} > 0$ such that for any $\theta \ge \overline{\theta}$, $I_\eta(w(x/\theta)) < 0$. We take $v_0(x) = w(x/\overline{\theta})$. Therefore the proof is complete. □

Lemma 3.2. *For any $\eta \in [\overline{\eta}, 1]$, define*

$$c_\eta = \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_\eta} \max_{t\in[0,1]} I_\eta(\gamma(t)),$$

where $\Gamma_\eta = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = v_0\}$, $\overline{\eta}$ and v_0 are from Lemma 3.1. *Then $c_\eta > \max\{I_\eta(0), I_\eta(v_0)\}$ and there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that $c_\eta \le c_0$ for any $\eta \in [\overline{\eta}, 1]$, where c_0 is independent of λ.*

Proof. According to (H1) and (H2), for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(t) \le \varepsilon|t| + C(\varepsilon)|t|^{2_\alpha^*-1}. \tag{3.2}$$

By (3.2), for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, we get

$$F(t) \le \varepsilon t^2 + C(\varepsilon)|t|^{2_\alpha^*}. \tag{3.3}$$

Taking $\varepsilon = V_1/(4k_2)$, for any $u \in H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\eta \in [\overline{\eta}, 1]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\eta(u) \ge& \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 + V_1 u^2)\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k_2 F(u)\,dx - \frac{\lambda}{2_\alpha^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx \\
\ge& \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2\,dx + (V_1/2 - \varepsilon k_2)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2\,dx - C(\varepsilon)k_2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx - \frac{\lambda}{2_\alpha^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx \\
\ge& \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2\,dx + \frac{V_1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2\,dx - C\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx - \frac{\lambda}{2_\alpha^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx \\
\ge& \min\{1/2, V_1/4\}\,||u||^2_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)} - C||u||^{2_\alpha^*}_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to $2_\alpha^* > 2$, there exist $0 < \rho < ||v_0||_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ and $\sigma > 0$ such that $I_\eta(u) \ge \sigma$ for any $u \in H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $||u||_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \rho$. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma_\eta$, we have $\gamma(0) = 0$ and $\gamma(1) = v_0$. Then, there exists $t_\eta \in (0,1)$ such that $||\gamma(t_\eta)||_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \rho$, which implies

$$c_\eta \ge \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_\eta} I_\eta(\gamma(t_\eta)) \ge \sigma > \max\{I_\eta(0), I_\eta(v_0)\}.$$

Take $\gamma_0(t) = tv_0$, then $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_\eta$. For any $t \in [0,1]$, we obtain

$$I_\eta(\gamma_0(t)) = I_\eta(tv_0) \le \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v_0|^2 + V(x)v_0^2)\,dx \triangleq c_0,$$

which implies that $c_\eta \le \max_{t\in[0,1]} I_\eta(\gamma_0(t)) \le c_0$ for any $\eta \in [\overline{\eta}, 1]$. Thus we have completed the proof. □

Theorem 3.1. ([19, Theorem 1.1]) *Let $(X, ||\cdot||_X)$ be a Banach space and $I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ an interval. Consider a family $\{J_\eta\}_{\eta\in I}$ of C^1 functionals on X with the form*

$$J_\eta(u) = A(u) - \eta B(u),\ \forall \eta \in I,$$

where $B(u) \ge 0$, $\forall u \in X$, and such that either $A(u) \to +\infty$ or $B(u) \to +\infty$ as $||u||_X \to \infty$. If there are two points v_1, $v_2 \in X$ such that

$$c_\eta = \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_\eta} \max_{t\in[0,1]} J_\eta(\gamma(t)) > \max\{J_{v_1}, J_{v_2}\},\ \eta \in I,$$

where

$$\Gamma_\eta = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], X) : \gamma(0) = v_1, \gamma(1) = v_2\},$$

then, for almost every $\eta \in I$, there exists a sequence $\{v_n\} \subset X$ such that
(i) $\{v_n\}$ is bounded;
(ii) $J_\eta(v_n) \to c_\eta$;
(iii) $J'_\eta(v_n) \to 0$ in the dual X' of X.

Remark 3.1 In fact, the map $\eta \to c_\eta$ is nonincreasing and continuous from the left (see [19]).

By using Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.1, we obtain that for any $\eta \in [\overline{\eta}, 1]$, I_η possesses a bounded (PS) sequence at the level c_η.

Next we will verify that each bounded (PS) sequence for the functional I_η contains a convergent subsequence. The main difficulties here are that the embedding $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is not compact and we do not have a similar radial lemma (see [5]) in $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$. To get the compactness of bounded (PS) sequence in $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we assume that λ in (1.1) is small. Based on the following principle of concentration compactness in $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and Lemma 2.4 in [12], we obtain Lemma 3.5.

Theorem 3.2. ([30, Theorem 1.5]) *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ an open subset and let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in $\dot{H}^\alpha(\Omega)$ weakly converging to u as $n \to \infty$ and such that*

$$|(-\triangle)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 \to \mu \text{ and } |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} \to \nu \text{ weakly-} * \text{ in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Then, either $u_n \to u$ in $L^{2_\alpha^*}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ or there exists a (at most countable) set of distinct points $\{x_j\}_{j\in J} \subset \overline{\Omega}$ and positive numbers $\{\nu_j\}_{j\in J}$ such that we have

$$\nu = |u|^{2_\alpha^*} + \sum_{j\in J} \nu_j \delta_{x_j}.$$

If, in addition, Ω is bounded, then there exist a positive measure $\widetilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $supp\widetilde{\mu} \subset \overline{\Omega}$ and positive numbers $\{\mu_j\}_{j\in J}$ such that

$$\mu = |(-\triangle)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 + \widetilde{\mu} + \sum_{j\in J} \mu_j \delta_{x_j}.$$

Remark 3.2 In the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, the above principle of concentration compactness does not provide any information about the possible loss of mass at infinity. The following result expresses this fact in quantitative terms, and the proof.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\{u_n\} \subset \dot{H}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n \to u$ weakly in $\dot{H}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $|(-\triangle)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 \to \mu$ and $|u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} \to \nu$ weakly-$*$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, as $n \to \infty$ and define*

$$\mu_\infty = \lim_{R\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N : |x|>R\}} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 \, dx,$$

$$\nu_\infty = \lim_{R\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N : |x|>R\}} |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} \, dx.$$

The quantities μ_∞ and ν_∞ are well defined and satisfy

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d\mu + \mu_\infty,$$

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} d\nu + \nu_\infty. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.5 in [48]. Thus we just give a sketch of the proof for the reader's convenience. Take $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $0 \le \phi \le 1$; $\phi \equiv 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0,2)$, $\phi \equiv 0$ in $B(0,1)$. For any $R > 0$, define $\phi_R(x) = \phi(x/R)$. Then we have

$$\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N : |x|>2R\}} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 \, dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 \phi_R \, dx \le \int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N : |x|>R\}} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 \, dx,$$

thus $\mu_\infty = \lim_{R\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 \phi_R \, dx$. Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 \phi_R \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 (1 - \phi_R) \, dx.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 (1-\phi_R)\,dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1-\phi_R)\,d\mu,$$

as $n \to \infty$. Hence we have

$$\mu(\mathbb{R}^N) = \lim_{R\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 (1-\phi_R)\,dx.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2\,dx &= \lim_{R\to\infty}\left(\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 \phi_R\,dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1-\phi_R)\,d\mu\right)\\ &= \mu_\infty + \mu(\mathbb{R}^N).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2^*_\alpha}\,dx = \nu(\mathbb{R}^N) + \nu_\infty$. The lemma is thus proved. □

In the sequel, we derive some results involving ν_i for any $i \in J$ and ν_∞.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\{u_n\} \subset \dot{H}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_n \to u$ weakly in $\dot{H}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $|(-\triangle)^{\alpha/2} u_n|^2 \to \mu$ and $|u_n|^{2^*_\alpha} \to \nu$ weakly-$*$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, as $n \to \infty$. Then, $\nu_i \le (S_\alpha^{-1}\mu(\{x_i\}))^{2^*_\alpha/2}$ for any $i \in J$ and $\nu_\infty \le (S_\alpha^{-1}\mu_\infty)^{2^*_\alpha/2}$, where x_i, ν_i are from* Theorem 3.2 *and μ_∞, ν_∞ are from* Lemma 3.3*, S_α is the best Sobolev constant of the embedding $\dot{H}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see [13]), i.e.*

$$S_\alpha = \inf_{u\in\dot{H}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2\,dx}{||u||^2_{L^{2^*_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. (1) Take $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $0 \le \varphi \le 1$; $\varphi \equiv 1$ in $B(0,1)$, $\varphi \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0,2)$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, define $\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \varphi(\frac{x-x_i}{\varepsilon})$, where $i \in J$. It follows from (2.1) and (3.5) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n\varphi_\varepsilon|^{2^*_\alpha}\,dx \le \left(S_\alpha^{-1}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x)\varphi_\varepsilon(x) - u_n(y)\varphi_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy\right)^{2^*_\alpha/2}.$$

We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n\varphi_\varepsilon|^{2^*_\alpha}\,dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\varepsilon^{2^*_\alpha}\,d\nu, \text{ as } n\to\infty,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\varepsilon^{2^*_\alpha}\,d\nu \to \nu(\{x_i\}) = \nu_i, \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x)\varphi_\varepsilon(x) - u_n(y)\varphi_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy\\ =&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x)\varphi_\varepsilon(x) - u_n(x)\varphi_\varepsilon(y) + u_n(x)\varphi_\varepsilon(y) - u_n(y)\varphi_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy\\ =&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\varphi_\varepsilon^2(y)(u_n(x)-u_n(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy\\ &+\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{2u_n(x)\varphi_\varepsilon(y)(u_n(x)-u_n(y))(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy,\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\varphi_\varepsilon^2(y)(u_n(x)-u_n(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\varepsilon^2\,d\mu, \text{ as } n\to\infty,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\varepsilon^2\,d\mu \to \mu(\{x_i\}), \text{ as } \varepsilon\to 0.$$

Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $\dot{H}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by the Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n(x)\varphi_\varepsilon(y)(u_n(x)-u_n(y))(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy\right| \\
\le&\left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\varphi_\varepsilon^2(y)(u_n(x)-u_n(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy\right)^{1/2} \\
\le&C\left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy\right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the following, we claim that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\lim_{n\to\infty}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy=0.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{R}^N\times\mathbb{R}^N=&((\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,2\varepsilon))\cup B(x_i,2\varepsilon))\times((\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,2\varepsilon))\cup B(x_i,2\varepsilon)) \\
=&((\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,2\varepsilon))\times(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,2\varepsilon)))\cup(B(x_i,2\varepsilon)\times\mathbb{R}^N) \\
&\cup((\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,2\varepsilon))\times B(x_i,2\varepsilon)).
\end{aligned}$$

(i) If $(x,y)\in(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,2\varepsilon))\times(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,2\varepsilon))$, then $\varphi_\varepsilon(x)=\varphi_\varepsilon(y)=0$.

(ii) $(x,y)\in B(x_i,2\varepsilon)\times\mathbb{R}^N$. If $|x-y|\le\varepsilon$, $|y-x_i|\le|x-y|+|x-x_i|\le 3\varepsilon$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(x_i,2\varepsilon)}dx\int_{\{y\in\mathbb{R}^N:|x-y|\le\varepsilon\}}\frac{u_n^2(x)(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}dy \\
=&\int_{B(x_i,2\varepsilon)}dx\int_{\{y\in\mathbb{R}^N:|x-y|\le\varepsilon\}}\frac{u_n^2(x)|\nabla\varphi(\xi)|^2|\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}dy \\
\le&C\varepsilon^{-2}\int_{B(x_i,2\varepsilon)}dx\int_{\{y\in\mathbb{R}^N:|x-y|\le\varepsilon\}}\frac{u_n^2(x)}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha-2}}dy \\
=&C\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}\int_{B(x_i,2\varepsilon)}u_n^2(x)dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi=(y-x_i)/\varepsilon+\tau(x-x_i)/\varepsilon$ and $\tau\in(0,1)$.

If $|x-y|>\varepsilon$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(x_i,2\varepsilon)}dx\int_{\{y\in\mathbb{R}^N:|x-y|>\varepsilon\}}\frac{u_n^2(x)(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}dy \\
\le&C\int_{B(x_i,2\varepsilon)}dx\int_{\{y\in\mathbb{R}^N:|x-y|>\varepsilon\}}\frac{u_n^2(x)}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}dy \\
=&C\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}\int_{B(x_i,2\varepsilon)}u_n^2(x)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) $(x,y)\in(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,2\varepsilon))\times B(x_i,2\varepsilon)$. If $|x-y|\le\varepsilon$, $|x-x_i|\le|x-y|+|y-x_i|\le 3\varepsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,2\varepsilon)}dx\int_{\{y\in B(x_i,2\varepsilon):|x-y|\le\varepsilon\}}\frac{u_n^2(x)(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}dy \\
\le&C\varepsilon^{-2}\int_{B(x_i,3\varepsilon)}dx\int_{\{y\in B(x_i,2\varepsilon):|x-y|\le\varepsilon\}}\frac{u_n^2(x)}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha-2}}dy \\
\le&C\varepsilon^{-2}\int_{B(x_i,3\varepsilon)}dx\int_{\{z\in\mathbb{R}^N:|z|\le\varepsilon\}}\frac{u_n^2(x)}{|z|^{N+2\alpha-2}}dz \\
=&C\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}\int_{B(x_i,3\varepsilon)}u_n^2(x)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that there exists $K > 4$ such that $(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(x_i, 2\varepsilon)) \times B(x_i, 2\varepsilon) \subset (B(x_i, K\varepsilon) \times B(x_i, 2\varepsilon)) \cup ((\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(x_i, K\varepsilon)) \times B(x_i, 2\varepsilon))$.

If $|x-y| > \varepsilon$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} dx \int_{\{y\in B(x_i,2\varepsilon):|x-y|>\varepsilon\}} \frac{u_n^2(x)(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} dy \\
\le & C\int_{B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} dx \int_{\{y\in B(x_i,2\varepsilon):|x-y|>\varepsilon\}} \frac{u_n^2(x)}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} dy \\
\le & C\int_{B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} dx \int_{\{z\in\mathbb{R}^N:|z|>\varepsilon\}} \frac{u_n^2(x)}{|z|^{N+2\alpha}} dz \\
\le & C\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}\int_{B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} u_n^2(x)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

If $(x,y) \in (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(x_i, K\varepsilon)) \times B(x_i, 2\varepsilon)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|x-y| &\ge |x-x_i| - |y-x_i| = \frac{|x-x_i|}{2} + \frac{|x-x_i|}{2} - |y-x_i| \\
&\ge \frac{|x-x_i|}{2} + \frac{K}{2}\varepsilon - 2\varepsilon > \frac{|x-x_i|}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} dx \int_{\{y\in B(x_i,2\varepsilon):|x-y|>\varepsilon\}} \frac{u_n^2(x)(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} dy \\
\le & C\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} dx \int_{\{y\in B(x_i,2\varepsilon):|x-y|>\varepsilon\}} \frac{u_n^2(x)}{|x-x_i|^{N+2\alpha}} dy \\
\le & C\varepsilon^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} \frac{u_n^2(x)}{|x-x_i|^{N+2\alpha}} dx \\
\le & C\varepsilon^N \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} |u_n(x)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx\right)^{2/2_\alpha^*} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} |x-x_i|^{-(N+2\alpha)\frac{2_\alpha^*}{2_\alpha^*-2}} dx\right)^{(2_\alpha^*-2)/2_\alpha^*} \\
= & CK^{-N}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} |u_n(x)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx\right)^{2/2_\alpha^*}.
\end{aligned}$$

In views of (i), (ii) and (iii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} dxdy \\
= & \iint_{B(x_i,2\varepsilon)\times\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_n^2(x)(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} dxdy + \iint_{(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,2\varepsilon))\times B(x_i,2\varepsilon)} \frac{u_n^2(x)(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} dxdy \\
\le & C\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}\int_{B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} u_n^2(x)\,dx + CK^{-N}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} |u_n(x)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx\right)^{2/2_\alpha^*} \\
\le & C\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}\int_{B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} u_n^2(x)\,dx + CK^{-N}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Note that $u_n \to u$ weakly in $H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by Theorem 2.1 we obtain $u_n \to u$ in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which implies

$$C\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}\int_{B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} u_n^2(x)\,dx + CK^{-N} \to C\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}\int_{B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} u^2(x)\,dx + CK^{-N},$$

as $n \to \infty$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&C\varepsilon^{-2\alpha} \int_{B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} u^2(x)\,dx + CK^{-N} \\
\le& C\varepsilon^{-2\alpha} \left(\int_{B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} |u(x)|^{2^*_\alpha}\,dx \right)^{2/2^*_\alpha} \left(\int_{B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} dx \right)^{1-2/2^*_\alpha} + CK^{-N} \\
=& CK^{2\alpha} \left(\int_{B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} |u(x)|^{2^*_\alpha}\,dx \right)^{2/2^*_\alpha} + CK^{-N} \to CK^{-N}
\end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy \\
=& \lim_{K\to\infty} \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Thus, for any $i \in J$, we obtain

$$\nu_i \le (S_\alpha^{-1}\mu(\{x_i\}))^{2^*_\alpha/2}.$$

(2) It follows from from (2.1) and (3.5) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n\chi_R|^{2^*_\alpha}\,dx \le \left(S_\alpha^{-1} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x)\chi_R(x)-u_n(y)\chi_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy \right)^{2^*_\alpha/2},$$

where χ_R is from Lemma 3.3. We have

$$\limsup_{R\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n\chi_R|^{2^*_\alpha}\,dx = \nu_\infty.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x)\chi_R(x)-u_n(y)\chi_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy \\
=& \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)(\chi_R(x)-\chi_R(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\chi_R^2(y)(u_n(x)-u_n(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy \\
&+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{2u_n(x)\chi_R(y)(u_n(x)-u_n(y))(\chi_R(x)-\chi_R(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy.
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\limsup_{R\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \int\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\chi_R^2(y)(u_n(x)-u_n(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy = \mu_\infty$$

and it follows from the Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n(x)\chi_R(y)(u_n(x)-u_n(y))(\chi_R(x)-\chi_R(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy \right| \\
\le& C \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)(\chi_R(x)-\chi_R(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{R\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)(\chi_R(x)-\chi_R(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy \\
=& \limsup_{R\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)((1-\chi_R(x))-(1-\chi_R(y)))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy,
\end{aligned}$$

then, similar to the proof of (3.7), we obtain

$$\limsup_{R\to\infty}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}}\frac{u_n^2(x)((1-\chi_R(x))-(1-\chi_R(y)))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy=0.$$

Then,

$$\nu_\infty\le(S_\alpha^{-1}\mu_\infty)^{2_\alpha^*/2}.$$

Therefore, we have completed the proof. □

Lemma 3.5. *There exists $\lambda_*>0$ such that for any $\lambda\in(0,\lambda_*)$ and $\eta\in[\overline{\eta},1]$, each bounded* (PS) *sequence for functional I_η contains a convergent subsequence.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}\subset H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a bounded (PS) sequence, i.e. there exists $C_3>0$ such that

$$|I_\eta(u_n)|\le C_3$$

and

$$I'_\eta(u_n)\to 0 \text{ in } H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N),\ \text{as } n\to\infty.$$

Passing to a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_n\}$, we may assume that $u_n\to u_0$ weakly in $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By the compact embedding

$$H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)\hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

for $p\in(2,2_\alpha^*)$, we assume that

$$u_n\to u_0 \text{ in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } u_n(x)\to u_0(x) \text{ a.e.in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

as $n\to\infty$. Moreover, by Phrokorov's Theorem (see Theorem 8.6.2 in [8]) there exist μ, $\nu\in\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$|(-\triangle)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_n|^2\to\mu \text{ and } |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*}\to\nu \text{ weakly-}*\text{ in } \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

as $n\to\infty$. It follows from Theorem 3.2 that $u_n\to u_0$ in $L^{2_\alpha^*}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ or $\nu=|u_0|^{2_\alpha^*}+\sum_{j\in J}\nu_j\delta_{x_j}$, as $n\to\infty$, where J is a countable set, $\{\nu_j\}\subset[0,\infty)$, $\{x_j\}\subset\mathbb{R}^N$.

For any $\phi\in H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle I'_\eta(u_n),\phi\rangle-\langle I'_\eta(u_0),\phi\rangle=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(u_n-u_0)(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\phi\,dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(x)(u_n-u_0)\phi\,dx\\&-\eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}k(x)(f(u_n)-f(u_0))\phi\,dx-\eta\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|u_n|^{2_\alpha^*-2}u_n-|u_0|^{2_\alpha^*-2}u_0)\phi\,dx.\end{aligned}$$

As $u_n\to u_0$ weakly in $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(u_n-u_0)\cdot(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\phi\,dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(x)(u_n-u_0)\phi\,dx\to 0.$$

Note that

$$\left\{|u_n|^{2_\alpha^*-2}u_n-|u_0|^{2_\alpha^*-2}u_0\right\}_n \text{ is bounded in } L^{\frac{2_\alpha^*}{2_\alpha^*-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and

$$|u_n(x)|^{2_\alpha^*-2}u_n(x)-|u_0(x)|^{2_\alpha^*-2}u_0(x)\to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

then

$$|u_n|^{2_\alpha^*-2}u_n-|u_0|^{2_\alpha^*-2}u_0\to 0\quad\text{weakly in } L^{\frac{2_\alpha^*}{2_\alpha^*-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

which implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{2_\alpha^*-2}u_n - |u_0|^{2_\alpha^*-2}u_0)\phi\,dx \to 0.$$

In the sequel, we will verify that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)(f(u_n)-f(u_0))\phi\,dx \to 0$, as $n\to\infty$.

Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(-2,2)$ such that $\psi \equiv 1$ on $(-1,1)$ and define $f_1(t)=\psi(t)f(t)$, $f_2(t)=(1-\psi(t))f(t)$. Hence we obtain

$$|f_1(t)| \le C_4|t| \text{ and } |f_2(t)| \le C_5|t|^{2_\alpha^*-1}.$$

Since $\{k(x)f_1(u_n)\}$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $k(x)f_1(u_n(x)) \to k(x)f_1(u_0(x))$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$, we get that $k(x)f_1(u_n) \to k(x)f_1(u_0)$ weakly in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)f_1(u_n)\phi\,dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)f_1(u_0)\phi\,dx.$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)f_2(u_n)\phi\,dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)f_2(u_0)\phi\,dx.$$

Note that $f(t)=f_1(t)+f_2(t)$, we deduce

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)f(u_n)\phi\,dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)f(u_0)\phi\,dx.$$

As $\langle I'_\eta(u_n),\phi\rangle \to 0$, it follows that $\langle I'_\eta(u_0),\phi\rangle = 0$, i.e. $I'_\eta(u_0)=0$. Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_0|^2\,dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u_0^2\,dx = \eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)f(u_0)u_0\,dx + \eta\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx. \tag{3.8}$$

By Lemma 2.4 in [12], we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)f(u_n)u_n\,dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)f(u_0)u_0\,dx, \tag{3.9}$$

as $n\to\infty$. It follows from the Fatou Lemma that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u_0^2\,dx \le \liminf_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u_n^2\,dx. \tag{3.10}$$

Next we will verify that $u_n \to u_0$ in $L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. To this end, we divide the proof into two steps.

Step 1: *For any $i\in J$, $\mu(\{x_i\}) \le \lambda\nu_i$ and $\mu_\infty \le \lambda\nu_\infty$.*

(1) Taking radially symmetric function φ_ε as in Lemma 3.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x)\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-u_n(y)\varphi_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy\\
\le& 2\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x)-u_n(y)|^2\varphi_\varepsilon^2(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy + 2\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)|\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy\\
\le& 2\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x)-u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy + 2\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)|\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Similar to the proof of (3.6), we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)|\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy \le C\varepsilon^{-2\alpha}\int_{B(x_i,K\varepsilon)} u_n^2(x)\,dx + CK^{-N}, \tag{3.12}$$

where $K>4$. As $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows from (3.11) and (3.12) that $\{u_n\varphi_\varepsilon\}$ is bounded in $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then

$$\langle I'_\eta(u_n), u_n\varphi_\varepsilon\rangle \to 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$, which implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n \cdot (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (u_n \varphi_\varepsilon)\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\eta k(x) f(u_n) u_n + \eta\lambda |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} - V(x) u_n^2 \right) \varphi_\varepsilon \, dx + o(1). \tag{3.13}$$

For any $\tau > 0$, by (H2) there exist $p \in (2, 2_\alpha^*)$ and $C_6 > 0$ such that

$$t f(t) \le \frac{V_1}{2k_2} t^2 + \tau |t|^{2_\alpha^*} + C_6 |t|^p,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\eta k(x) f(u_n) u_n + \eta\lambda |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} - V(x) u_n^2 \right) \varphi_\varepsilon \, dx \\
\le &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{V_1}{2} u_n^2 + \tau k_2 |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} + C_6 k_2 |u_n|^p + \lambda |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} - V_1 u_n^2 \right) \varphi_\varepsilon \, dx \\
\le &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\tau k_2 |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} + C_6 k_2 |u_n|^p + \lambda |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} \right) \varphi_\varepsilon \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^p \varphi_\varepsilon \, dx = \int_{B(x_i, 2\varepsilon)} |u_n|^p \varphi_\varepsilon \, dx \to \int_{B(x_i, 2\varepsilon)} |u_0|^p \varphi_\varepsilon \, dx,$$

as $n \to \infty$ and

$$\int_{B(x_i, 2\varepsilon)} |u_0|^p \varphi_\varepsilon \, dx \to 0,$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\eta k(x) f(u_n) u_n + \eta\lambda |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} - V(x) u_n^2 \right) \varphi_\varepsilon \, dx \\
\le &(\tau k_2 + \lambda) \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} \varphi_\varepsilon \, dx \\
= &(\tau k_2 + \lambda) \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\varepsilon \, d\nu \\
= &(\tau k_2 + \lambda) \nu_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\tau \to 0$, we get

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\eta k(x) f(u_n) u_n + \eta\lambda |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} - V(x) u_n^2 \right) \varphi_\varepsilon \, dx \le \lambda \nu_i. \tag{3.14}$$

By (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n \cdot (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (u_n \varphi_\varepsilon)\, dx \\
= &\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))(u_n(x)\varphi_\varepsilon(x) - u_n(y)\varphi_\varepsilon(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy \\
= &\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))^2 \varphi_\varepsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))(\varphi_\varepsilon(x) - \varphi_\varepsilon(y)) u_n(x)}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))^2 \varphi_\varepsilon(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\varepsilon \, d\mu,$$

as $n \to \infty$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\varepsilon \, d\mu \to \mu(\{x_i\}),$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Note that the Hölder inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x)-u_n(y))(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))u_n(x)}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy \right| \\
\leq &\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x)-u_n(y)| \cdot |\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y)| \cdot |u_n(x)|}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy \\
\leq &C \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)|\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the proof of (3.7), we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)(\varphi_\varepsilon(x)-\varphi_\varepsilon(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy = 0.$$

Then, combining (3.13) with (3.14), we obtain that for any $i \in J$,

$$\mu(\{x_i\}) \leq \lambda \nu_i.$$

(2) Taking radially symmetric function χ_R as in Lemma 3.3, we could verify that $\{u_n \chi_R\}$ is bounded in $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, hence

$$\langle I'_\eta(u_n), u_n \chi_R \rangle \to 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$, which implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n \cdot (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (u_n \chi_R) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\eta k(x) f(u_n) u_n + \eta \lambda |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} - V(x) u_n^2 \right) \chi_R \, dx + o(1). \tag{3.15}$$

Similar to the proof of (3.14), we get

$$\limsup_{R \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\eta k(x) f_1(u_n) u_n + \eta \lambda |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} - k(x) f_2(u_n) u_n \right) \chi_R \, dx \leq \lambda \nu_\infty. \tag{3.16}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n \cdot (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (u_n \chi_R) \, dx \\
= &\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x)-u_n(y))^2 \chi_R(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x)-u_n(y))(\chi_R(x)-\chi_R(y))u_n(x)}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\limsup_{R \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x)-u_n(y))^2 \chi_R(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy = \mu_\infty$$

and

$$\left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u_n(x)-u_n(y))(\chi_R(x)-\chi_R(y))u_n(x)}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy \right| \leq C \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)|\chi_R(x)-\chi_R(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy \right)^{1/2}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{R \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)(\chi_R(x)-\chi_R(y))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy \\
= &\limsup_{R \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{u_n^2(x)((1-\chi_R(x))-(1-\chi_R(y)))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}} \, dxdy,
\end{aligned}$$

then, similar to the proof of (3.7), we obtain

$$\limsup_{R\to\infty}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}}\frac{u_n^2(x)((1-\chi_R(x))-(1-\chi_R(y)))^2}{|x-y|^{N+2\alpha}}\,dxdy=0.$$

Combining this with (3.15) and (3.16), we have

$$\mu_\infty\le\lambda\nu_\infty.$$

Step 2: There exists $\lambda_*>0$ such that for any $0<\lambda<\lambda_*$, $\nu_i=0$ for any $i\in J$ and $\nu_\infty=0$. Suppose that there exists $i_0\in J$ such that $\nu_{i_0}>0$ or $\nu_\infty>0$, using Lemma 3.4 and Step 1 we obtain

$$\nu_{i_0}\le(S_\alpha^{-1}\mu(\{x_{i_0}\}))^{2_\alpha^*/2}\le(S_\alpha^{-1}\lambda\nu_{i_0})^{2_\alpha^*/2}$$

or

$$\nu_\infty\le(S_\alpha^{-1}\mu_\infty)^{2_\alpha^*/2}\le(S_\alpha^{-1}\lambda\nu_\infty)^{2_\alpha^*/2},$$

which implies

$$\nu_{i_0}\ge(S_\alpha\lambda^{-1})^{2_\alpha^*/(2_\alpha^*-2)}\tag{3.17}$$

or

$$\nu_\infty\ge(S_\alpha\lambda^{-1})^{2_\alpha^*/(2_\alpha^*-2)}.\tag{3.18}$$

By (H3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}2I_\eta(u_n)-\langle I_\eta'(u_n),u_n\rangle=&\eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}k(x)(f(u_n)u_n-2F(u_n))\,dx+\eta\lambda\,(1-2/2_\alpha^*)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx\\ \ge&\eta\lambda\frac{2\alpha}{N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx\ge\lambda\frac{\alpha}{N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{2_\alpha^*}\varphi_\varepsilon\,dx.\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

Letting $n\to\infty$, we obtain that $2C_3\ge\lambda\frac{\alpha}{N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\varphi_\varepsilon\,d\nu$. Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\varphi_\varepsilon\,d\nu\to\nu_{i_0}$, as $\varepsilon\to0$, it follows that

$$2C_3\ge\lambda\frac{\alpha}{N}\nu_{i_0}.$$

Similarly, we get

$$2C_3\ge\lambda\frac{\alpha}{N}\nu_\infty.$$

It follows from (3.17) or (3.18) that

$$2C_3\ge\lambda\frac{\alpha}{N}(S_\alpha\lambda^{-1})^{2_\alpha^*/(2_\alpha^*-2)}=\frac{\alpha}{N}S_\alpha^{N/(2\alpha)}\lambda^{-(N-2\alpha)/(2\alpha)}$$

which implies $\lambda\ge(\frac{\alpha}{2NC_3})^{2\alpha/(N-2\alpha)}S_\alpha^{2_\alpha^*/2}\triangleq\lambda_*$.

So the assumption $0<\lambda<\lambda_*$ gives a contradiction. Then, for any $i\in J$, $\nu_i=0$ and $\nu_\infty=0$. Using (3.4) we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_0|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx.$$

As $|u_n-u_0|^{2_\alpha^*}\le2^{2_\alpha^*}(|u_n|^{2_\alpha^*}+|u_0|^{2_\alpha^*})$, it follows from the Fatou Lemma that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}2^{2_\alpha^*+1}|u_0|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\liminf_{n\to\infty}(2^{2_\alpha^*}|u_n|^{2_\alpha^*}+2^{2_\alpha^*}|u_0|^{2_\alpha^*}-|u_n-u_0|^{2_\alpha^*})\,dx\\ \le&\liminf_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(2^{2_\alpha^*}|u_n|^{2_\alpha^*}+2^{2_\alpha^*}|u_0|^{2_\alpha^*}-|u_n-u_0|^{2_\alpha^*})\,dx\\ =&2^{2_\alpha^*+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_0|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx-\limsup_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n-u_0|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx,\end{aligned}$$

which implies $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n-u_0|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx=0$. Then

$$u_n\to u_0 \text{ in } L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N),\ \text{as } n\to\infty.$$

Note that $I'_\eta(u_n)\to 0$, it follows from (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_n|^2\,dx\\
=&\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}k(x)f(u_n)u_n\,dx+\eta\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(x)u_n^2\,dx\right)\\
\le&\eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}k(x)f(u_0)u_0\,dx+\eta\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_0|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(x)u_0^2\,dx\\
\le&\liminf_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_n|^2\,dx,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_n|^2\,dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_0|^2\,dx. \tag{3.20}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(x)u_n^2\,dx\\
=&\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}k(x)f(u_n)u_n\,dx+\eta\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_n|^2\,dx\right)\\
=&\eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}k(x)f(u_0)u_0\,dx+\eta\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_0|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u_0|^2\,dx\\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(x)u_0^2\,dx.
\end{aligned}$$

As $u_n\to u_0$ weakly in $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows from (3.20) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(u_n-u_0)|^2\,dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(x)(u_n-u_0)^2\,dx=0,$$

which implies $u_n\to u_0$ in $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This completes the proof. □

Finally, we will show that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ of critical points for I_{η_n} is bounded and it is a (PS) sequence for $\widetilde{I}$. Then, from Lemma 3.5 we obtain a nontrivial critical point for $\widetilde{I}$. To show the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$, we will use the following Pohozaev type identity for (3.1):

Let $u\in H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution of (3.1), then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{N-2\alpha}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u|^2\,dx-N\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\eta_n k(x)F(u)+\frac{\eta_n\lambda}{2_\alpha^*}|u|^{2_\alpha^*}-\frac{1}{2}V(x)u^2\right)dx\\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\eta_n F(u)\nabla k\cdot x\,dx-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}u^2\nabla V\cdot x\,dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.21}$$

In [12], using the α-harmonic extension, the authors prove the Pohozaev identity with subcritical nonlinearity. In this paper, although the problem (3.1) involves critical nonlinearity $|u|^{2_\alpha^*-2}u$, the potential functions $V(x)$ and $k(x)$, similar to the proof of Pohozaev identity in [12], we could also obtain the Pohozaev identity (3.21), so we do not provide the proof here.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (1) By Theorem 3.1, for almost every $\eta\in[\overline{\eta},1]$, there exists a bounded sequence $\{u_{\eta,n}\}\subset H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $I_\eta(u_{\eta,n})\to c_\eta$ and $I'_\eta(u_{\eta,n})\to 0$ in $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, as $n\to\infty$. By Lemma 3.2, $0<c_\eta\le c_0$ for any $\eta\in[\overline{\eta},1]$. We assume that $|I_\eta(u_{\eta,n})|\le c_0+1$ for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

Let $\lambda_* = (\frac{\alpha}{2N(c_0+1)})^{2\alpha/(N-2\alpha)} S_\alpha^{2_\alpha^*/2}$ (see Step 2 in Lemma 3.5). If $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*)$, by Lemma 3.5, passing to a subsequence if possible, there exists $u_\eta \in H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $u_{\eta,n} \to u_\eta$ in $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, as $n \to \infty$. Then, $I_\eta(u_\eta) = c_\eta$ and $I'_\eta(u_\eta) = 0$.

Let $\{\eta_n\} \subset [\overline{\eta}, 1]$ with $\eta_n \uparrow 1$ such that there exists $u_n \in H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $I_{\eta_n}(u_n) = c_{\eta_n} \le c_0$, $I'_{\eta_n}(u_n) = 0$. Then u_n is a weak solution of the following equation

$$(-\Delta)^\alpha u + V(x)u = \eta_n k(x) f_1(u) + \eta_n \lambda |u|^{2_\alpha^*-2} u.$$

By Pohozaev identity for the above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{N-2\alpha}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 \, dx - N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\eta_n k(x) F_1(u_n) + \frac{\eta_n \lambda}{2_\alpha^*} |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} - \frac{1}{2} V(x) u_n^2 \right) dx \\
=& \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta_n F(u_n) \nabla k \cdot x \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 \nabla V \cdot x \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $F(t) \ge 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows from (V1) and (K2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 \, dx =& N I_{\eta_n}(u_n) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta_n F(u_n) \nabla k \cdot x \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 \nabla V \cdot x \, dx \\
\le& N I_{\eta_n}(u_n) \le N c_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Using (3.2), for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) u_n^2 \, dx &= \eta_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(k(x) f(u_n) u_n + \lambda |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 \, dx \\
&\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(k(x) f(u_n) u_n + \lambda |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} \right) dx \\
&\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varepsilon k_2 u_n^2 \, dx + (k_2 C(\varepsilon) + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\varepsilon = V_1/(2k_2)$, by (3.5) and (3.22) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^2 \, dx \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} \, dx \le C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_n|^2 \, dx \right)^{2_\alpha^*/2} \le C.$$

Then $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore, $\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x) F(u_n) \, dx + \frac{\lambda}{2_\alpha^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} \, dx\}$ is bounded. It follows from Remark 3.1 that as $n \to \infty$

$$\widetilde{I}(u_n) = I_1(u_n) = I_{\eta_n}(u_n) + (\eta_n - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x) F(u_n) \, dx + (\eta_n - 1) \frac{\lambda}{2_\alpha^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*} \, dx \to c_1.$$

For any $\phi \in H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$, combining (3.2), the Hölder inequality with Theorem 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (k(x) f(u_n) + \lambda |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*-2} u_n) \phi \, dx \right| &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (k_2 |u_n \phi| + C |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*-1} |\phi|) \, dx \\
&\le k_2 ||u_n||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} ||\phi||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + C ||u_n||_{L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{(2_\alpha^*-1)/2_\alpha^*} ||\phi||_{L^{2_\alpha^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\
&\le C ||\phi||_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\langle \widetilde{I}'(u_n), \phi \rangle = \langle I_1'(u_n), \phi \rangle = \langle I'_{\eta_n}(u_n), \phi \rangle + (\eta_n - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x) f(u_n) \phi \, dx + (\eta_n - 1) \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{2_\alpha^*-2} u_n \phi \, dx,$$

we get as $n \to \infty$

$$||\widetilde{I}'(u_n)|| = \sup\{|\langle \widetilde{I}'(u_n), \phi\rangle| : ||\phi||_{H^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1\} \to 0.$$

For any $0 < \lambda < \lambda_*$, passing to a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_n\}$, we assume that $u_n \to u_0$ in $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then $\widetilde{I}(u_0) = I_1(u_0) = c_1$ and $\widetilde{I}'(u_0) = I_1'(u_0) = 0$. It follows that u_0 is a nontrivial weak solution.

(2) u_0 is nonnegative. In fact, it suffices to consider the following functionals on $H_r^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N)$:

$$\widetilde{I}^+(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 + V(x)u^2)\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)F(u)\,dx - \frac{\lambda}{2_\alpha^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u^+|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx$$

and

$$I_\eta^+(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 + V(x)u^2)\,dx - \eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k(x)F(u)\,dx - \eta\frac{\lambda}{2_\alpha^*}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u^+|^{2_\alpha^*}\,dx,$$

where $u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}$.

Similar to the argument of (1), there exists a nontrivial weak solution u_0 of (1.1). It is easy to verify that u_0 is nonnegative. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Acknowledgements

B. Zhang was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province of China (No. A201306) and Research Foundation of Heilongjiang Educational Committee (No. 12541667) and Doctoral Research Foundation of Heilongjiang Institute of Technology (No. 2013BJ15). D. Repovš was supported in part by the Slovenian Research Agency grant P1-0292-0101.

References

[1] D. Applebaum, Lévy processes-from probalility to finance and quantum groups. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (2004) 1336–1347.

[2] G. Autuori, P. Pucci, Elliptic problems involving the fractional Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^N$, J. Differential Equations 255 (2013) 2340–2362.

[3] G. Autuori, A. Fiscella, P. Pucci, Stationary Kirchhoff problems involving a fractional operator and a critical nonlinearity, Nonlinear Anal. 125 (2015) 699–714.

[4] H. Brézis, L. Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 36 (1983), 437–477.

[5] H. Berestycki, P. L. Lions, Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 82 (1983) 313–345.

[6] B. Barrios, E. Colorado, A. de Pablo, U. Sánchez, On some critical problems for the fractional Laplacian operator. J. Differential Equations 252 (2012) 6133–6162.

[7] B. Barrios, E. Colorado, R. Servadei, F. Soria, A critical fractional equation with concave-convex power nonlinearities, Ann. I. H. Poincaré-AN 32 (2015) 875–900.

[8] V.I. Bogachev, Measure Theory, vol. II, xiv+575 pp, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.

[9] L. Caffarelli, J.L. Vázquez, Asymptotic behaviour of a porous medium equation with fractional diffusion, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. 29 (2011) 1393–1404.

[10] L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 32 (2007) 1245–1260.

[11] X. Cabré, Y. Sire, Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians, I: regularity, maximum principles, and Hamiltonian estimates. Ann. I. H. Poincaré-AN 31 (2014) 23–53.

[12] X.J. Chang, Z.-Q. Wang, Ground state of scalar field equations involving a fractional Laplacian with general nonlinearity, Nonlinearity 26 (2013) 479–494.

[13] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, E. Valdinoci, Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. Bull. Sci. Math. 136 (2012) 521–573.

[14] S. Dipierro, G. Palatucci, E. Valdinoci, Existence and symmetry results for a schrödinger type problem involving the fractional Laplacian. Le Matematiche 68 (2013) 201–216.

[15] P. Felmer, A. Quaas, J. G. Tan, Positive solutions of the nonlinear schrödinger equation with the fractional Laplacian. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh A 142 (2012) 1237–1262.

[16] A. Fiscella, E. Valdinoci, A critical Kirchhoff type problem involving a nonlocal operator, Nonlinear Anal. 94 (2014) 156–170.

[17] B. H. Feng, Ground states for the fractional Schrödinger equation. Electronic Journal of Differential Equations 2013 (2013) 1–11.

[18] Y.X. Hua, X.H. Yu, On the ground state solution for a critical fractional Laplacian equation. Nonlinear Anal. 87 (2013) 116–125.

[19] L. Jeanjean, On the existence of bounded Palais-Smale sequences and application to a Landesman-Lazer type problem set on $\mathbb{R}^N$, Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A 129 (1999) 787–809.

[20] L. Jeanjean, K. Tanaka, A positive solution for a nonlinear schrödinger equation on $\mathbb{R}^N$, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 54 (2005) 443–464.

[21] W. Krawcewicz, W. Marzantowicz, Some remarks on the Lusternik-Schnirelman method for non-differentiable functionals invariant with respect to a finite group action, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 20 (1990), 1041-1049.

[22] N. Laskin, Fractional quantum mechanics and Lévy path integrals, Phys. Lett. A 268 (2000) 298–305.

[23] N. Laskin, Fractional Schrödinger equation, Phys. Rev. E 66, 056108 (2002).

[24] G. Molica Bisci, V. Rădulescu, Ground state solutions of scalar field fractional for Schrödinger equations, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 54 (2015) 2985–3008.

[25] G. Molica Bisci, V. Rădulescu, R. Servadei, Variational methods for nonlocal fractional problems, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.

[26] G. Molica Bisci, D. Repovš, Higher nonlocal problems with bounded potential, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 420 (2014) 591–601.

[27] G. Molica Bisci, D. Repovš, On doubly nonlocal fractional elliptic equations, Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. 26 (2015) 161–176.

[28] G. Molica Bisci, R. Servadei, Lower semicontinuity of functionals of fractional type and applications to nonlocal equations with critical Sobolev exponent, Adv. Differential Equations 20 (2015) 635–660.

[29] P.L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case, I, II Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Lineaire 1 (1984) 223–283.

[30] G. Palatucci, A. Pisante, Improved Sobolev embeddings, profile decomposition, and concentration-compactness for fractional Sobolev spaces. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 50 (2014) 799–829.

[31] P. Pucci, S. Saldi, Critical stationary Kirchhoff equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$ involving nonlocal operators, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 32 (2016) 1–22.

[32] P. Pucci, M. Q. Xiang, B. L. Zhang, Multiple solutions for nonhomogeneous Schrödinger–Kirchhoff type equations involving the fractional p–Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^N$, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 54 (2015) 2785–2806.

[33] P. Pucci, M.Q. Xiang, B.L. Zhang, Existence and multiplicity of entire solutions for fractional p-Kirchhoff equations, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 5 (2016) 27–55.

[34] G. Palatucci, A. Pisante, Y. Sire, Subcritical approximation of a yamabe type nonlocal equation: a Gamma-convergence approach, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. 5 (2015) 1–22.

[35] X. Ros-Oton, J. Serra, The Pohozaev identity for the fractional Laplacian, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 213 (2014) 587–628.

[36] Z. Shen, F. Gao, On the existence of solutions for the critical fractional Laplacian equation in $\mathbb{R}^N$, Abstract and Applied Analysis 2014 (2014) 1–10.

[37] X. Shang, J. Zhang, Ground states for fractional Schrödinger equations with critical growth, Nonlinearity 27 (2014) 187–207.

[38] X. Shang, J. Zhang, Y. Yang, On fractional Schrödinger equation in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with critical growth, J. Math. Phys. 54 (2013), 121502, 20pp.

[39] R. Servadei, E. Valdinoci, The Brézis–Nirenberg result for the fractional Laplacian, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 367 (2015) 67–102.

[40] R. Servadei, E. Valdinoci, On the spectrum of two different fractional operators, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 144 (2014) 831–855.

[41] R. Servadei, E. Valdinoci, Fractional Laplacian equations with critical Sobolev exponent, Revista Matemática Complutense 28 (2015) 655–676.

[42] S. Secchi, Ground state solutions for the fractional Schrödinger equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$, J. Math. Phys. 54 (2013), 031501, 17pp.

[43] S. Secchi, On fractional Schrödinger equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$ without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition, Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis 47 (2016) 19–41.

[44] K. Teng, X. He, Ground state solutions for fractional Schrödinger equations with critical Sobolev exponent, Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis 15 (2016) 991–1008.

[45] J.L. Vázquez, Nonlinear diffusion with fractional Laplacian operators, Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Abel Symposia 7 (2012) 271–298.

[46] M.Q. Xiang, B.L. Zhang, M. Ferrara, Existence of solutions for Kirchhoff type problem involving the nonlocal fractional p-Laplacian, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 424 (2015) 1021–1041.

[47] M.Q. Xiang, B.L. Zhang, X.Y. Guo, Infinitely many solutions for a fractional Kirchhoff type problem via Fountain Theorem, Nonlinear Anal. 120 (2015) 299–313.

[48] X. Zhang, B.L. Zhang, M.Q. Xiang, Ground states for fractional Schrödinger equations involving a critical nonlinearity, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 5 (2016) 293–314.